

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,471	08/01/2001	N. Edward Berg	BERG99.01CIP	3251
7590 07/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Norman P Soloway Hayes Soloway Hennessey Grossman & Hage 130 W Cushing Street Tucson, AZ 85701			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/890,471	BERG, N. EDWARD				
rance, rouen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberts Culbert	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the maility	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	ı better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) $[$ uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10. Other:		P. Hassanzodet Drimary Exammer				
		Ormany Exammer				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment fails to place the application in condition for allowance because the amendment raises new issues not present in the originally filed claims. In the Final rejection the examiner indicated that "Claims 37 and 38 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Ofhce action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims." The applicant has instead inserted a portion of Claim 37 into various independent claims which as amended do not contain all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims as was indicated allowable by the examiner.